

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9394		
10/749,643	12/31/2003	Himanshu Pokharna	42P17649			
8791	7590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			CHERVINSKY	CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2835			

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	<u>-</u> -		
Office Action Summary		9,643	POKHARNA ET A	\L .		
		ner	Art Unit			
		Chervinsky	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a reply to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or exte	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In numerication. of days, a reply within the utory period will apply are in, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>31 Decembe</u>	<u>r 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2	b)🛛 This action i	s non-final.		,		
3) Since this application is in condition f	or allowance exc	ept for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the a _l	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ard	e withdrawn from	consideration.	•	:		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.				•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or electio	n requirement.	•			
Application Papers				e de la companya de l		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer	•		•		
		accented or h) object	ed to by the Exam	niner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to		·				
Daire with a constant 05 H O O C 440			_			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have t	peen received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of				Stage		
application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT l	Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment/e)			•	·		
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	,		
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC)-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/749,643

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 14, 23, 24, 28, 29, 51, 53, 55, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nelson et al.

Nelson discloses a system comprising an integrated circuit (IC) die 105; a folded fin microchannel heat exchanger 120 operatively and thermally coupled to the IC die 105, the folded fin microchannel heat exchanger comprising: a thermal mass having a cavity; a folded fin 660C located within the cavity and welded to the metal portion of the cavity, the folded fin 660C defining, at least in part, a plurality of microchannels 600C within the cavity; and an inlet and an outlet 130A, 130B, wherein the microchannels are fluidly coupled at one end to the inlet and at the other end to the outlet; a pump 340, having an inlet and an outlet fluidly coupled to the inlet of the folded fin microchannel heat exchanger 120, and a heat rejecter 150, having an inlet fluidly coupled to the outlet of the folded fin microchannel heat exchanger and an outlet fluidly coupled to the inlet of the pump, wherein the system employs a working fluid that transfers heat generated by the IC die to the heat rejecter using a two-phase heat exchange mechanism; the working fluid is water. The method steps of claims 51, 53, 55 and 56 are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Nelson et al.

Art Unit: 2835

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 11, 33, 42, 52, 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al.

Nelson discloses the claimed invention, as shown above, except aluminum or copper, and except specific use in an antenna that is coupled to a network interface. The aluminum or copper are commonly used for heat dissipating fins as good thermal conductivity materials. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use aluminum or copper, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed cooling structure for a microprocessor, for an antenna or another heat generating device that needs to be cooled, since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

- 5. Claims 6-8, 10, 15-17, 19, 25, 26, 30, 31, 35, 36, 38, 43-45, 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Dove et al. Nelson discloses the claimed invention, as shown above, except solderable layer made of specific materials such as copper, gold etc. Dove discloses the solder paste disposed on the IC die for attaching the IC die to the heat-dissipating device and use the suitable materials for the solderable layer as to provide sufficient thermal conduction. The solderable layer considered as a thermal interface material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the solderable layer as disclosed by Dove et al. in the structure disclosed by Nelson et al. and to use claimed materials since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.
- 6. Claims 27, 32, 54 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Kenny, Jr. et al.

Nelson discloses the claimed invention except electro-osmotic pump. Kenny, Jr. discloses the cooling system that employs the electro-osmotic pump. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the electro-osmotic pump as disclosed by Kenny, Jr. et al. since the device disclosed by Nelson may use any suitable pump required for sufficient cooling (col. 5, lines 56-58).

7. Claims 9, 18, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Kobayashi et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,643

Art Unit: 2835

Nelson discloses the claimed invention except a thermal adhesive. Kobayashi discloses the thermal mass 38 coupled to the IC package 22 by the thermal adhesive 36. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use thermal adhesive as disclosed by Kobayashi in the device disclosed by Nelson for optimum heat transfer.

8. Claims 11-13, 20-22, 39-41, 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson et al. in view of Dibene, II et al.

Nelson discloses the claimed invention, as shown above, except flip-bonded chip and fasteners with the standoffs. Dibene discloses the flip-bonded chi and fasteners structure with the standoffs to couple the thermal mass and IC die to the substrate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fastener as disclosed by Dibene for the device disclosed by Nelson for reliable attachment the thermal mass and the IC die to the substrate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/749,643

Art Unit: 2835

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHERVINSKY

3/9/5

Page 6